

PRIORITIZATION OF INSECTICIDE FOR MONITORING IN PRASAE RIVER AREA

Saowanee Sematong^{1,*} Kalaya Zapuang¹ Malinee Kitkumthorn²

Somchai Issaravanich¹ Yongyuth Sookkaseam³

¹Institute of Health Research, ² Faculty of Veterinary Science Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330

³Glang District Agricultural Extension office, Rayong 21110

Abstract

Prioritization of 7 insecticides used around Pra-Sae river area (Cypermethrin, Methomyl, Carbaryl, Chlorpyrifos, Parathion-methyl, Methamidophos, and Endosulfan) was based on yearly usage, toxicities in aquatic animals and mammals, impacts on environment, including the opinions from the toxicological and ecological specialists. The priority for monitoring of these insecticides was 1) Cypermethrin, Chlorpyrifos and Endosulfan 2) Methomyl 3) Parathion-methyl and Carbaryl 4) Methamidofos.

Keywords: pesticide, Prasae River area

Introduction

Prasae has been the important river in Eastern sea banks that flows into the Gulf of Thailand around Baan Pak Nam, Pak Nam Krasae, Klang, Rayong Province. About 80% of the land utility was used for agriculture for example; rice growing, gardening, crop growing, animal farming, and fishery. Most agriculturalists applied the chemicals to prevent their products from pests and to be more productive¹. The previous study by interviewing 141 families of agriculturists using chemicals around Pra-Sae river areas implied that the insecticides mostly used were Cypermethrin, Methomyl, Carbaryl, Chlorpyrifos, Parathion-methyl, Methamidophos, and Endosulfan². This study was further performed to determine the priority for monitoring among these insecticides.

Material and Methods

The determinants for prioritization were yearly quantities of usage, toxicities in aquatic animals and mammals, impacts on environment and the opinions

from 5 specialists (2 toxicologists, 2 ecologists and 1 agricultural chemist).

The data in any category were ranked from 1 (lowest impact) to 7 (highest impact) points. Summation of the points represented the priority rank of each insecticide.

Results and Discussion

The priorities for monitoring among the insecticides around Pra-Sae river areas were as follow: (1) Cypermethrin, Chlorpyrifos and Endosulfan (2) Methomyl (3) Parathion Methyl and Carbaryl (4) Methamidophos (Table 1-2). It should be noted that endosulfan was still used whilst it has been banned.

The on going project is the study of accumulation of these 7 insecticides in the mullets which are the local fish in Prasae River for assessment of safety in mullet consumption.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: saowanee.se@chula.ac.th, Tel 0 2218 8156 Fax 0 2253 2395

Table 1 The toxicities of insecticides used around Pra-sae river areas

	Cypermethrin	Methomyl	Carbaryl	Chlorpyrifos	ParathionMethyl	Methamidophos	Endosulfan
Toxicity Level (WHO)	II Moderately hazardous	Ib Highly hazardous	II Moderately hazardous	II Moderately hazardous	Ia Extremely hazardous	Ib Highly hazardous	II Moderately hazardous
LD50 in Rat (mg/kg)	303 mg ³	17.0 – 23.5 ⁴	500 – 850 ⁵	118 - 245 ⁶	2.9 – 3.2 ⁷	18.9 – 21 ⁸	40 – 50 ⁹
LC50 96 hr. in Rainbow trout (mg/l)	0.00082 ¹⁰	3.4 ¹¹	4.27 – 6.18 ¹²	0.009 ¹³	2.7 ¹¹	25 – 51 ⁸	0.0014 ¹⁴
Soil degradation (half life: days)	30 ¹⁵	30 ¹⁵	10 ¹⁵	30 ¹⁵	5 ¹⁵	6 ¹⁵	50 ¹⁵
Water Degradation (Half life: pH 7 : days)	>50 ¹⁰	266 ¹⁶	10 ¹⁶	35 ¹⁶	8 Summer ¹⁶	27 ⁸	22 ¹⁷ (pH 7.3)
Bioaccumulation	Extremely low ³	No Evidence ⁴	Extremely low ⁵	Not has potential ¹⁸	Low ⁷	No evidence ¹⁹	High Potential ⁹

Table 2 Summary of the category points of insecticides used around Pra-sae river areas

	Points						
	Cypermethrin	Methomyl	Carbaryl	Chlorpyrifos	ParathionMethyl	Methamidophos	Endosulfan
Quantity of active ingredient in a cycle year ²	5	6	7	4	3	2	1
Rank from assessment by specialists	5	4	4	6	3	2	7
LD ₅₀ in mammal (Rat)	2	6	1	3	7	6	4
LC ₅₀ (96 hr) in fish (Rainbow trout)	7	3	2	5	4	1	6
Degradation in soil	6	6	3	6	1	2	7
Degradation in water (pH ~ 7 – 8)	6	7	2	5	1	4	3
Bioaccumulation	4	1	4	6	6	4	7
Total (point)	35	33	23	35	25	21	35
Ranking Number	1	2	3	1	3	4	1

References

1. Darapong N. 1995. Land use planning at Prasae River Area. Office of land use planning, Land development department, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives . p 5 – 10.
2. Sematong S., Zupuang K., Kitkumthron M., Issaravanich S. and Sookkaseam Y. 2005. Statistical use of pesticides in Praase river agricultural area, Rayong Province. Thai J Health Res 19(2): 133 – 144.
3. International Program on Chemical Safety. 1989. Cypermethrin; Environmental Health Criteria; 82. World Health Organization: Geneva. pp.42 – 43, 86.
4. International Program on Chemical Safety. 1996. Methomyl; Environmental Health Criteria; 178. World Health Organization: Geneva. pp. 42, 59, 88.
5. International Program on Chemical Safety. 1994. Carbaryl; Environmental Health Criteria; 153. World Health Organization: Geneva. pp. 48 – 50, 156.
6. Gaines TB. 1969. Acute toxicity of Pesticides. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 14: 515 – 534.
7. International Program on Chemical Safety. 1993. Methyl Parathion; Environmental Health Criteria; 145. World Health Organization: Geneva. pp. 78 – 79, 151.

8. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2001. EFED response to comments submitted to the methamidophos docket during the 60 – day comment period on the EFED methamidophos RED chapter. Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. Washington, DC. pp. 4, 24, 26.
9. International Program on Chemical Safety. 1984. Endosulfan; Environmental Health Criteria; 40. World Health Organization: Geneva. pp. 41 – 42, 26.
10. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Jan. 3, 1989. Pesticide Fact Sheet Number 199: Cypermethrin. US EPA, Office of Pesticides and toxic substance, Washington, DC. pp. 2 – 9.
11. Hartley D. and Kidd H. (eds). 1987. The agrochemicals handbook. Nottingham, England: Royal Society of Chemistry. pp. A270, A312.
12. Ferrari A., Anquiano OL., Soleno J., Venturino A., Ana M. and Angelo PD. 2004. Different susceptibility of two aquatic vertebrate (*Onchorhynchus mykiss* and *Bufo arenarum*) to azinphos methyl and carbaryl. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part C 139. pp.239 – 243.
13. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1986 (Sept.). Ambient water quality criteria for chlorpyrifos -1986. Office of Water Regulations and Standards. Criteria and Standards Division. Washington, DC.
14. Maier - Bode H. 1968. Properties, Effect, Residues, and Analytics of the Insecticide Endosulfan. Residue Reviews 22: 10-44.
15. Vogue PA., Kerle EA. and Jenkins JJ. 1994. OSU Extension Pesticide Properties Database. <http://npic.orst.edu.ppdmove.htm>. Access on 3 April 2007.
16. Howard PH. 1989. Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals, Vol. III, Pesticides. Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, MI. pp. 5 – 13.
17. Cotham WE. Jr. and Bidleman TF. 1989. Degradation of Malathion, Endosulfan, and Fenvalerate in seawater and seawater/sediment microcosms. J Agric Food Chem 37: 824 – 828.
18. U.S. Public Health Service. 1995. Hazardous Substance Data Bank. Washington, DC. pp. 5-9.
19. International Program on Chemical Safety. Health and Safety Guide No. 79. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/hsg/hsg/hsg079.htm#SectionNumber:2.5>. Access on 3 April 2007.

ลำดับสารกำจัดแมลงที่ควรเฝ้าระวังบริเวณลุ่มน้ำประแสร์

เสาวนีย์ เสมาทอง^{1*} กัลยา ซาพวง¹ มალიณี กิตกำธร² สมชาย อิศระวานิชย์¹ ยงยุทธ สุขเกษม³

¹สถาบันวิจัยวิทยาศาสตร์การแพทย์ ²ภาควิชาอายุรศาสตร์ คณะสัตวแพทยศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย กรุงเทพมหานคร 10330 ³สำนักงานเกษตรอำเภอกาหลง ระยอง 21110

จัดลำดับความสำคัญของสารกำจัดแมลงที่เกษตรกรบริเวณลุ่มน้ำประแสร์ นิยมใช้ จำนวน 7 ชนิด ได้แก่ ไซเปอร์เมทริน เมทโรมิล คาร์บาริล คลอไพริฟอส พาราไธออนเมธิล เมธามีโดฟอส และเอนโดซัลแฟน จากข้อมูลปริมาณการใช้ในรอบปี ความเป็นพิษในสัตว์น้ำและสัตว์เลี้ยงลูกด้วยนม ความเป็นพิษต่อสิ่งแวดล้อม รวมทั้งสอบถามความคิดเห็นจากผู้เชี่ยวชาญด้านพิษวิทยาและนิเวศวิทยา สามารถจัดลำดับความสำคัญของสารกำจัดแมลงที่ควรเฝ้าระวังบริเวณลุ่มน้ำประแสร์ ได้ดังนี้ 1) ไซเปอร์เมทริน คลอไพริฟอส และเอนโดซัลแฟน 2) เมทโรมิล 3) เมธิล พาราไธออน และคาร์บาริล 4) เมธามีโดฟอส

คำสำคัญ: สารกำจัดแมลง แม่น้ำประแสร์

*ติดต่อได้ที่ Saowanee.Se@Chula.ac.th, โทรศัพท์ 0 2218 8156 โทรสาร 0 2253 2395