

## Original Articles

Effects of Forward Tilting of Seat Surface  
on Arm-hand Mobility of Young Children  
with Bilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy: A Preliminary Study  
*Mattana Angsupaisal* 7

OptiMusic for Children with Special Needs  
*See Ching Mey* 22

Motor Function and Manual Ability in Children with  
Cerebral Palsy : A Primary Report  
*Aye Myint Thu, Thant Thant Aung, Khin Mar Myint and Aye Aye Myint* 33

The Effect of Occupational Therapy on Volitional and  
Vocational Skills in the Thai Juvenile Justice System  
*Supalak Khemthong* 40

## Special Articles

Scalp Acupuncture Treatment for Children with Autism and ADHD  
*Neoh Choo Aun, Neoh Karen and Neoh Jessie* 45

**CDMH • International Journal of Child Development and Mental Health**

CDMH is published twice a year in August and February by Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Department of Mental Health, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand.

**Advisory Board**

Boonruang	Triruangworawat	Department of Mental Health, Thailand
Chinoros	Leesawat	Department of Mental Health, Thailand
Pongkaseem	Khaimook	Department of Mental Health, Thailand

**Editor**

Duujian	Tsai	Taipei Medical University, Taiwan
Samai	Sirithongthaworn	Department of Mental Health, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand
Yen Kuang	Yang	National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan

**Editorial Board**

Sangjan	Rungruangkonkit	Harborview Medical Center, USA
Ujsara	Prasertsin	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand
Nuenghatai	Chaiarporn	MaeJo University, Thailand
Deborah	Hume	Fayetteville Neuropathways to Learning, USA
John Ryan	A. Buenaventura	Psychiatric-Mental Health Nurses Association of the Philippines, Inc., Philippines

**Editorial Assistant**

Chutinart	Sakarinkhul	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Dutsadee	Juengsiragulwit	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Korakot	Hensangvilai	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Pitsinee	Srisuk	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Preechaya	Phrommin	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Saowalak	Langgapin	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Saranya	Pingkasa	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Suphinya	Promkatkaew	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand

**Peer Review Board**

Aatit	Paungmali	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Carolina	Uno-Rayco	Philippine Mental Health Association, Philippines
Chao-Ching	Huang	Taipei Medical University, Taiwan
Chaowanee	Longchoopol	Naresuan University, Thailand
Chin-Hong	Chan	Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taiwan
Happy	Kuy-Lok Tan	Child Psychiatrist, Taiwan
Kannika	Permpooputtana	Mahidol University, Thailand
Marietta	B. Agustin	Research Development and Productivity, Wesleyan University-Philippines, Philippines
Mohd Zulkifli Abdul Rahim		Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Maethisa	Pongsakri	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Nuanlaor	Thawinchai	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Patcharin	Arunruang	Galyarajanagarindra Institute, Thailand
Patrabul	Naksusuk	Assumption University, Thailand
Peeraya	Munkhetvit	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Penprapa	Sriviroj	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Pimpaporn	Klunklin	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Ratchaneekorn	Tongsookdee	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Sainatee	Pratanaphon	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Sarinya	Sriphetcharawut	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
See	Ching Mey	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Shaharum	Shamsuddin	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Somchai	Taeukul	Saint Louis College, Thailand
Supalak	Khemthon	Mahidol University, Thailand
Suwaree	Sivabaedya	Khonkaen University, Thailand
Tjhin	Wiguna	University of Indonesia Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital, Indonesia
Thanyaluck	Sriboonreung	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Tiam	Srikhamjak	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Tiparat	Pratoomporn	North-Chiang Mai University, Thailand
Tippawan	Duangpanya	Kasetsart University, Thailand

**Proof Read Board**

Darren	Bauman	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand
Deborah	Hume	Fayetteville Neuropathways to Learning, USA
John Ryan	A. Buenaventura	Psychiatric-Mental Health Nurses Association of the Philippines, Inc. , Philippines
Malcolm	Potter	Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development, Thailand

### **Editorial Policy**

#### ***Journal scope***

The International Journal on Child Development and Mental Health which is published twice a year encourages Thailand and International scholars, health providers, researchers and child and mental health development specialists to publish scholarly articles that include original and review articles, case studies, case reports, invited review articles, preliminary report, miscellany and systemic reviews on child development and mental health

#### ***Objective***

The main aim of the journal is to publish scholarly articles that include original and review articles, case studies, case reports, and systemic reviews. Scholars from disciplines serving child development or mental health are encouraged to submit their papers which have to be reviewed by experts in the field for its content, and in accordance with professional standards of the disciplines.

#### **Ethics policy**

This for authors who are considering publishing in this journal: All authors must submit original, non-plagiarized research articles. Thus, proper use of citation or quotation is strictly observed by the Editorial Board. In cases of use of human or animal subjects, appropriate approval from the respective institution's ethics board must be secured. Likewise, the use of graphics and photographs that will bridge subject anonymity/confidentiality must accompany with ethics document approval and/or informed consent.

Plagiarism: The authors will ensure that they have written their articles based on their research or study. If the authors have used the work, the text, or the words of another researcher, it should be quoted or cited appropriately. The researcher shall state that the procedures performed in their research involving humans or animals were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institution or research committee and clearly documented in the paper to the publisher.

Informed Consent: To be included in the research or study, informed consent must be obtained from all individual participants or by parental/legal guardians or by animal owners. Use of patient images or case details requires prior approval and/or informed consent before they can be published.

#### **Manuscript Submission**

Details of the submission and review process can be found at <https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/cdmh> and [www.ricd.go.th/cdmh](http://www.ricd.go.th/cdmh). The editor and editorial board oversee manuscript reviews. Once a manuscript is submitted, an editor is assigned to make the peer reviewer assignments through the double-blind peer review by at least three experts. The entire process ordinarily takes 3 months (Detail can be found at "Process of the Publishing Articles in the International Journal of Child Development and Mental Health (CDMH)"), and revisions are often requested. Corresponding authors who require assistance in submitting their manuscripts should contact the Editorial Office.

Manuscripts should be prepared in accordance with the instructions given there for preparing tables, figures, references and metrics. Instruction on developing abstracts should be followed. The editor is responsible for obtaining reviews and deciding on the disposition of all manuscripts (acceptance, rejection, or requests for revision).

\*(Reminder: It is important that the article has never been published before and not in the between time of submitting in other journal, not copyright by other publishers)

#### **TYPES OF MANUSCRIPT**

Manuscripts may be submitted in the form of review articles, research papers, case studies, case reports, invited review articles, preliminary report, miscellany and systemic reviews related to child development and mental health or short communications where, as an approximate guide to length with microsoft word and PDF file format.

- **Review articles, invited review articles, preliminary report, and systemic reviews** must: not exceed 15-20 typed pages of printed text, inclusive of tables, figures and references; and with minimums to maximum 15-40 references which should not older than 10 years.
- **Research papers** must not exceed 8-14 typed pages, similarly all-inclusive. The number of references should not exceed 30, and should not be older than 10 years.
- **Case studies, case reports miscellany and Short Communications** must not exceed 12 typed pages including maximum of three tables, three figures and the number of 8-15 references.

#### PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPTS

Manuscripts submitted for publication will normally consist of the following sections: Title, Authors Name and Addresses, Abstract (including Keywords), Introduction, Objective, Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusions, /acknowledgements (if any), and References. For format and general style, authors should refer to current issue (see also the Journal website) as guide. Manuscripts that do not conform to these guidelines will be subjected to revision or be rejected at the submission stage. Photographs, figures and line drawings should be of optimum quality. Color photographs are acceptable whenever it is necessary but the extra costs involved in the reproduction must be borne by the author(s). Manuscripts should be typed as MSWord documents on A4-sized pages with justified text, times new roman size 12. The preferred length of manuscripts is 8-15 typed pages or less, but a somewhat greater length may be accepted, depending on the complexity and importance of the research. The author must submit digital files of the article in PDF format and Microsoft word file which has to be submitted online at journal website <https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/cdmh/about/submissions#onlineSubmissions> or emailed at [cdmhjournalricd2015@gmail.com](mailto:cdmhjournalricd2015@gmail.com), [cdmh2012@yahoo.com](mailto:cdmh2012@yahoo.com). The submission must include the followings

#### Contents:

1. **Titles of study:** This section includes the research

title, author(s), institutional affiliation and the email address of the author.

- a) Title: concise and informative without using acronyms
  - b) Author(s): first name, middle initial and last name along with highest academic degree(s);
  - c) Institutional affiliation(s): write organizational affiliation of each author, and assign an individual super-scripted numbers for each author's name and institutional affiliation; and,
  - d) The corresponding authors' email address.
2. **Abstract:** A structured abstract should be no longer than 300 words, with writing sequence that include the following parts:
    - a) Objectives: brief statement of the purpose of the study;
    - b) Methods: the summary of the participants, research design, samples selection, setting, measures, and procedures;
    - c) Results: primary findings of the research; and
    - d) Conclusions: statement of implications of the study.
  3. **Keywords:** They are useful tools in researching for paper's information from journals.
  4. **Introductions:** This provides a context or background of the study; the important of the study, also it states the gap of knowledge the most influential or beneficial of this study.
  5. **Objectives:** states the specific purposes or research objectives. However, usage of pertinent references are strongly advised.
  6. **Methods:** This includes study design selection and description of participants; identification of research methods, apparatus, and procedures; and, statistical instruments used.
  7. **Results:** This presents your results in logical sequence using text, tables, and illustrations by giving the main or most important findings first. Each tables and figures should be numbered consecutively. Tables/figures should follow these specifications: (a) caption and content must be in English only, and (b) captions/titles must be placed above it.

**Table 1:** Effect of parental group on ADHD and ODD symptom

	Pretreatment(N=40) Mean anSD	Post treatment(N=40) Mean anSD	Z <sup>a</sup>	P-value <sup>a</sup>
Inattention	22.78±4.20	18.71±4.20	-1.25	<0.001***
Hyperactivity	17.60 ctivit	14.20 ctivi	-1.18	<0.001***
ODD symptom	15.60 mptom	12.50 mptom	-1.25	<0.001***

ADHD: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder; ODD: Oppositional Defiant Disorder;  
a: Wilcosonsigned Ranks Test; \*<0.05; \*\* <0.01; \*\*\*<0.001

Provide above is a sample table (Ruu-Fen & Yue-C, 2015, p19). Please take note that the maximum number of the most important tables that can be presented in the research paper are four (4) or less. Also, the vertical table lines should be avoided in presenting the contents. The title must be located above the table or figure.

- 8. Discussion.** In this section, new and important aspects of the study results are emphasized following the derived conclusions.
- 9. Acknowledgement.** In a separate section before the References, this should be written as a brief paragraph, and should not be inserted as footnote.
- 10. References.** Apply APA, in citing authorships of used references in the study. All referenced documents should be in English (For Thai references, it has to be translated to English as well). We strongly recommend to use APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition both in line citing and bibliography. All of the in-text citations must have corresponding citations in the reference part and the reference list should be in an alphabetical ordered following the American Psychological Association’s (APA) sample below:

The example of JOURNALS IN PRINT FORMAT, the APA Format–6th Edition. (n.d.). Retrieved January 18, 2017, from this site.

**General Form**

Author, A. A., Author, B. B., & Author, C. C. (Year). Title of article. Title of Journal, xx, xxx-xxx.

**NOTE:** The journal title and the volume number are in italics. Issue numbers are not required if the journal is continuously paged. If paged individually, the issue number is required and is in regular type in parentheses adjacent to the volume number

**One Author**

Williams, J. H. (2008). Employee engagement: Improving participation in safety. *Professional Safety*, 53(12), 40-45.

**Two to Seven Authors [List all authors]**

Keller, T. E., Cusick, G. R., & Courtney, M. E. (2007). Approaching the transition to adulthood: Distinctive profiles of adolescents aging out of the child welfare system. *Social Services Review*, 81, 453-484.

**Eight or More Authors [List the first six authors, ... and the last author]**

Wolchik, S. A., West, S. G., Sandler, I. N., Tein, J.-Y., Coatsworth, D., Lengua, L.,...Griffin, W. A. (2000). An experimental evaluation of theory-based mother and mother-child programs for children of divorce. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 843-856.

**BOOKS, CHAPTERS IN BOOKS, REPORTS, ETC.**

**General Form**

Author, A. A. (Year). Title of work. Location: Publisher.

**Corporate Author with an Edition and Published by the Corporate Author**

American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

**Anonymous Author**

Dorland’s illustrated medical dictionary (31<sup>st</sup> ed.). (2007). Philadelphia, PA: Saunders.

**Chapter in a Book**

Booth-LaForce, C., & Kerns, K. A. (2009). Child-parent attachment relationships, peer relationships, and peer-group functioning. In K. H. Rubin, W. M. Bukowski, & B. Laursen (Eds.), *Handbook of*

peer interactions, relationships, and groups (pp. 490-507). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

#### ERIC Document

Shyyan, V., Thurlow, M., & Liu, K. (2005). Student perceptions of instructional strategies: Voices of English language learners with disabilities. Minneapolis, MN: National Center on Educational Outcomes, University of Minnesota. Retrieved from the ERIC database. (ED495903)

#### ONLINE JOURNALS

##### General Form - Databases

Author, A. A., Author, B. B., & Author, C. C. (Year). Title of article. Name of Journal, xx, xxx-xxx. doi:xxxxxxxxx

##### Article Retrieved from an Online Database

**NOTE:** Use the article's DOI (Digital Object Identifier), the unique code given by the publisher to a specific article.

Senior, B., & Swailes, S. (2007). Inside management teams: Developing a teamwork survey instrument. *British Journal of Management*, 18, 138153. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8551.2006.00507.x

**NOTE:** Use the journal's home page URL (or web address) if there is no DOI. This may require a web search to locate the journal's home page. There is no period at the end of web address. Break a long URL before the punctuation.

Koo, D. J., Chitwoode, D. D., & Sanchez, J. (2008). Violent victimization and the routine activities/lifestyle of active drug users. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 38, 1105-1137. Retrieved from <http://www2.criminology.fsu.edu/~jdi/>

#### OTHER ONLINE RESOURCES

##### General Form

Author, A. A. (Year). Title of work. Retrieved from web address

##### Online Report from a Nongovernmental Organization

Kenney, G. M., Cook, A., & Pelletier, J. (2009). Prospects for reducing uninsured rates among children: How much can premium assistance programs help? Retrieved from Urban Institute website: <http://www.urban.org/url.cfm?ID=411823>

##### Online Report with No Author Identified and No Date

GVU@s 10th WWW user survey. (n.d.). Retrieved from [http://www.cc.gatech.edu/user\\_surveys/survey-1998-10/](http://www.cc.gatech.edu/user_surveys/survey-1998-10/)

**Web Sites in Parenthetical Citations:** To cite an entire Web site (but not a specific document within the site), it is sufficient to give the URL of the site in the text. No entry in the reference list is needed. Example:

Kidpsych is an excellent website for young children (<http://www.kidpsych.org>).

**REFERENCE CITATIONS IN TEXT-** APA utilizes a system of brief referencing in the text of a paper, whether one is paraphrasing or providing a direct quotation from another author's work. Citations in the text usually consist of the name of the author(s) and the year of publication. The page number is added when utilizing a direct quotation.

##### Indirect Quotation with Parenthetical Citation

Libraries historically highly value intellectual freedom and patron confidentiality (LaRue, 2007).

##### Indirect Quotation with Author as Part of the Narrative

LaRue (2007) identified intellectual freedom and patron confidentiality as two key values held historically by libraries.

##### Direct Quotation with Parenthetical Citation

Darwin used the metaphor of the tree of life "to express the other form of interconnectedness—genealogical rather than ecological" (Gould & Brown, 1991, p. 14).

##### Direct Quotation with Author as Part of the Narrative

Gould and Brown (1991) explained that Darwin used the metaphor of the tree of life "to express the other form of interconnectedness—genealogical rather than ecological (p. 14).

**CITING SECONDARY SOURCES** -- When citing in the text a work discussed in a secondary source, give both the primary and the secondary sources. In the example below, the study by Seidenberg and McClelland was mentioned in an article by Coltheart, Curtis, Atkins, & Haller.

Seidenberg and McClelland's study (as cited in Coltheart, Curtis, Atkins, & Haller, 1993) provided a glimpse into the world

*In the references page, you would cite the*

**secondary source you read not the original study.**

Coltheart, M., Curtis, B., Atkins, P., & Haller, M. (1993). Models of reading aloud: Dual-route and parallel-distributed processing approaches. *Psychological Review*, 100, 589-608.

An in-text citation when you refer to summarize, paraphrase or quote from other research. For every in-text citation in your paper, there must be a corresponding entry in your reference list of article. APA in-text citation uses the author's last name and the year of publication, for example: (Phrommin, 2016).

**Summary of the General Form for author**

Surname, names 'abbreviations Author A., Surname, names 'abbreviations Author B., Surname, names 'abbreviations Author C & surname, names 'abbreviations Author D... et al (year) Surname, names 'abbreviations Author 1., Surname, names 'abbreviations Author 2., & Surname, names 'abbreviations (abbreviation) 3.(year). Title of research. *Journal name* (Italic), Vol(no), page.doi

i.e

**Bibliography:**

Bass, M. M., Duchowny, C. A., & Llabre, M. M. (2009). The effect of therapeutic horseback riding on social functioning in children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(9), 1261–1267. doi:10.1007/s10803-009-0734-3

**In-line Citation:**

(Bass, Duchowny, & Llabre, 2009)  
Bass, Duchowny, & Llabre (2009)



Tear out this card and mail today

Call : +66 53 908 300, Fax : +66 53 908 330

E-mail : cdmh2012@yahoo.com

CDMH Member Number.....(staff only)

### Subscriptions

Subscription Rate

- 1 year 800 B. (2 Number) (30 USD)
- 2 years 1500 B. (4 Number) (50 USD)
- 3 years 2000 B. (6 Number) (65 USD)

(For overseas, please include postage fee according to your country).

### Ship Journals to :

Name.....

Address.....

Daytime Phone (.....).....Fax (.....).....

E-mail.....

### I want to pay by :

- Check enclosed (KTC payable to Miss.Preechaya Phrommin)
- Money order (payable to Miss.Preechaya Phrommin)
- P.O. Box: Chiang Mai City Hall A.Muang Chiang Mai 50300 (*only*)

### Complete and mail to:

Editorial Office:

International Journal of Child Development and Mental Health

Miss.Preechaya Phrommin

Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development

196 Moo10, Don Kaew, Mae Rim, Chiang Mai, Thailand 50180

### Editorial Office

Dr. Samai Sirithongthaworn, MD

Rajanagarindra Institute of Child Development

196 Moo 10, Don Kaew, Mae Rim, Chiang Mai, Thailand 50180

E-mail: cdmh2012@yahoo.com, Website: [www.ricd.go.th/cdmh](http://www.ricd.go.th/cdmh)

<https://www.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/cdm>

Tel.: +66 53 908 300



# Effects of Forward Tilting of Seat Surface on Arm-hand Mobility of Young Children with Bilateral Spastic Cerebral Palsy: a Preliminary Study

Mattana Angsupaisal, PT, MSc

Assistant Professor and pediatric physical therapist at Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Naresuan University, Pitsanuloke, Thailand

\*Corresponding author: Mattana Angsupaisal, Assistant Professor. E-mail: mattanaa@nu.ac.th

## Abstract

This preliminary study is on the effect of forward-tilting of the seat-surface on arm-hand function of young children with cerebral palsy (CP). Five children were recruited (two females, three males; median age 2 years 7 months). Inclusion criteria: preschool age, bilateral spastic CP with truncal hypotonia, Gross Motor Function Classification System levels II-IV. Participants served as their own controls. Adaptive seating with three wedge-inserts inducing 0, 20 or 40 degrees forward-tilt of the seat-surface was used. The tilt which induced best postural stability and alignment was applied. Arm mobility was assessed three times with one week intervals. Arm-hand function was assessed using the upper limb physicians rating scale (ULPRS) in the horizontal condition (H), and forward tilt condition (FW), 60 minutes per condition in random order. Two children were tested with 0-degree FW tilted seating, three children with 20 degrees. Mean ULPRS scores were higher in FW [dominant arm: 18.75 (18.75), non-dominant arm: 16.25 (16.25)] than in H condition [dominant arm: 17.5 (17.5), non-dominant arm: 16.25 (16.25)]. ANOVA demonstrated an effect of condition (dominant arm:  $p=0.001$ , non-dominant arm:  $p=0.004$ ), but not of the testing session (dominant arm:  $p=0.470$ , non-dominant arm:  $p=0.114$ ). Therefore, forward-tilting of the seat-surface may enhance arm-hand function in preschool children with Bi-CP.

**Keywords :** Cerebral palsy, Adaptive seating, Forward-tilting seat, Arm-hand mobility

## Clinical Messages:

The preliminary study suggests that 0 to 20 degrees forward tilting of the seat surface with additional foot support may enhance arm-hand function in preschool children with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy

## Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is characterized by an impaired development of motor control causing activity limitation (Beckung & Hagberg, 2002; Hadders-Algra & Carlberg, 2008). Postural dysfunctions play a pivotal role in motor impairments (Hadders-Algra & Carlberg, 2008; Shumway-Cook & Woollacott, 2007). Seating devices are used to assist postural control and to enhance functional activities of children with CP. However, the specifics of optimal seating, such as tilting of the seat-surface, are debateable. Several studies reported that forward tilting of the seat-surface improved reaching and grasping efficiency and postural control (Miedaner, 1990; Myhr & von Wendt, 1996; Myhr, Wendt, von Norrlin, & Radell, 2008; Sochaniwskyj, Koheil, Bablich, Milner, & Lotto, 1996). Yet, only McClenaghan and colleagues (1996) indicated that especially 5-degree backward tilting was associated

with better hand function, whereas forward tilting had only a minimally positive effect. In addition, Nwaobi and colleagues (Nwaobi, 2017; Nwaobi, Brubaker, Cusick, & Sussman, 2018) reported that a horizontal seat surface was associated with best performance of the arm and forward tilting with worst performance. Unfortunately, those studies did not include sufficient information on the type of CP, i.e. many of them did not clarify whether the studied children were unilateral or bilateral spastic CP. Likewise, some studies included only children with bilateral spastic CP (Sochaniwskyj, Koheil, Bablich, Milner, & Lotto, 2016; Nwaobi, 2017; Nwaobi, Brubaker, Cusick, & Sussman, 2018).

The conflicting findings may be caused by differences between the studies in seating position, the nature of the arm task, the age of the subjects and the type of CP. Previously, only the study of Hadders-Algra, Heide, Fock, Stremmelaar, Eykern & Otten. (2011) specified the type of CP and its different effects on measurement outcomes. Hadders-Algra, Heide, Fock, Stremmelaar, Eykern & Otten (2011) found that for seated reaching condition, in children with unilateral spastic CP, 15-degree forward tilting of the seat improved efficiency of sitting posture and reaching. For children with bilateral spastic CP (Bi-CP), a horizontal seat-surface was the best sitting condition, whereas, both 15-degree forward tilting and backward tilting of the seat-surface increased worse sitting postural control and had no effect on arm-hand function. However, the study by Hadders-Algra, Heide, Fock, Stremmelaar, Eykern & Otten (2011) did not apply foot-support during seated reaching. This is in contrast to sitting in daily-life situations (Angsupaisal, Maathuis, & Hadders-Algra, 2015). The latter finding also contrasts with our daily physical therapy practice, where we have the impression that young children with Bi-CP benefit from forward tilting of the seat-surface in daily-life situations.

## Objectives

We aimed to study the effect of forward tilting of the seat-surface on arm-hand performance of young children with Bi-CP. To this end, we developed an adaptive chair with an adjustable seat angle by using a simple wedge-insert that allowed three different degrees of forward tilting of the seat-surface. In this exploratory preliminary study, we address the following question: does application of forward seat-surface tilting (FW-condition) in pre-school children with Bi-CP result in better performance of arm-hand mobility, expressed by means of the upper limb physician rating scale (ULPRS) compared to the horizontal sitting condition (H-condition)

## Methods

### Study Design

We conducted a single group, time-series, experimental, repeated-measures design (condition x testing session). This preliminary study has been approved by the Naresuan University Research Ethics Committee, Pitsanuloke, Thailand.

### Abbreviation:

Bi-CP	Bilateral spastic cerebral palsy
FW	forward tilting seat-surface condition
H	horizontal seat-surface condition
ULPRS	the upper limb physician rating scale

### Participants

All children, referred to the Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation at the Buddhachinaraj Hospital, Pitsanuloke, Thailand with a primary diagnosis of CP from May to August 2018, were eligible. A convenience sample of five children with CP aged 6 to 4 years (two females, three males; median age 2 year 7 month) was recruited. All subjects met the following inclu-

sion criteria: (a) Gross Motor Function Classification System levels II to IV (Palisano, Rosenbaum, Walter, Russell, Wood & Galuppi, 2007) (assessed by MA); (b) diagnosed with bilateral spastic CP with hypotonia of the trunk (SCPE, 2007); the diagnoses were confirmed by assessment of MA and one physical therapy (PT) student; and (c) required adaptive equipment during supported sitting in a chair. Exclusion criteria were the presence of fixed hip deformities, scoliosis, severe visual impairment and severe communication problems. All parents signed a form of consent.

### **Procedure**

An adaptive chair with an adjustable seat angle was developed. With a wedge insert, the seat angle could be set at three different angles, i.e., at 10-, 20- or 30-degree forward tilt. First, MA and two other PT students (SP and WP) determined the child's best degree of forward tilting on the basis of the following criteria: The presence of the wedge should result in (a) stable sitting with the head and trunk as upright as possible; (b) projection of the centre of mass in front of the line through the ischial tuberosities; (c) weight bearing on the pelvis and feet. The child was placed in front of a grid line mirror for posture checking. Arm mobility was assessed three times with an interval of a week. Sessions were scheduled in the morning with each individual's session taking place at the same time of the day. Subjects served as their own controls. During the three-week period, participants attended daily rehabilitation sessions from Monday to Friday.

During each session, arm-hand performance was tested with the Upper Limb Physicians Rating Scale (ULPRS) (Graham, Aoki, Autti-Ramo, Boyd, Delgado & Deborah, et al., 2000). In two seating conditions, 10 minutes per condition: (1) condition H, in which seat-surface was oriented horizontally (Figure 1a) and (2) condition FW, in which the child's best degree of forward tilting

was used (Figure 1b). Conditions H and FW were applied in random order with an interval of 10 minutes. Both seating conditions also included (a) a backrest at the lower back; (b) a pelvis strap to avoid sliding; (c) an abductor pad attached to the seat; (d) knee locks attached to the front of the seat base; and (e) a foot plate (without additional foot support/orthosis). Participant S<sub>1</sub> was tested while sitting independently without a pelvis-strap as he enjoyed this 'free' situation. The sessions were recorded by two cameras with a frontal and lateral view (side of the tested arm). The hand which the child preferred to use to draw or manipulate an object was regarded as the dominant hand. The ULPRS is a clinical assessment, developed for the evaluation of change in arm movement patterns in children with CP during spontaneous behaviour (Graham, Aoki, Autti-Ramo, Boyd, Delgado & Deborah, et al., 2000). In the current study the child was offered a standardized set of three playing conditions. The conditions aimed at eliciting specific motor behaviour assessed by the ULPRS (see supporting information, Table 1). The conditions consisted of (a) a children's book; (b) cubes and a cup; and (c) a ball. The child played with the objects, encouraged by the assessor who was a Bachelor undergraduate student and trained to use ULPRS. The play situation resulted in spontaneously generated behaviour that could be assessed with the ULPRS. For instance, in the 'book condition' the child often started to open the book. This allowed for assessment of the ULPRS item of "active supination with elbow flexion". In the condition with the cubes and cup, the child often started to put the cubes into the cup, allowing for assessment of "active wrist dorsiflexion". The ball condition was introduced by putting the ball in three different positions, thus eliciting reaches to the midline and to both sides.

On the basis of the video recording, the whole set of the 4-item ULPRS assessment was

run for each arm separately, with the right and left arm in random order. The maximum ULPRS score which each arm could obtain is 24 points. The 100 videos (4 children assessed in 2 conditions during 25

sessions) were assessed in random order by a masked and well-trained observer (SP). Two assessors (WP and WS) assisted in testing and video-recording.

Figure 1: A participant in the two sitting conditions



Fig. 1(a)



Fig. 1(b)

(a) condition H and (b) condition FW at 90 degrees. The black line denotes the line of gravity. It is slightly anterior to the ischial tuberosities in both conditions. In the condition FW (panel b) the child has a straighter neck, a more upright back, and more weight bearing on the feet. Figures published with parental permission.

### Statistical analysis

Firstly, all ULPRS scores of all participants were pooled in order to assess homogeneity of variance in the two conditions. The assumption of Mauchly's test of sphericity indicated that parametric tests could be used for data analysis ( $p > 0.05$ ). To evaluate the effect of position on the ULPRS scores of each arm, two-way repeated measures ANOVA was applied using condition (H and FW) as a within-participants factor, and session (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> week) as a between-participants factor. To identify the source of significant differences among means, post-hoc analysis was

performed using the Bonferroni test. Differences reaching  $p < 0.001$  (two-tailed) were considered statistically significant.

### Reliability

Prior to the study, training of scoring was done and reliability of scoring was assessed by evaluating the agreement of three raters, including SP, on scores of four videos of two children with CP not included in the present study. Interrater agreement for the total ULPRS scores between the three assessors was satisfactory (ICC = 0.9124). The ICCs greater than 0.91 were considered reliable

for sample-based research (Morris, Kurinczuk, Fitzpatric & Rosenbaum, 2006). SP was randomly selected to be a masked assessor. The other two trainees (WP and WS) assisted in testing and video-recording.

**Results**

The participants’ characteristics are described in Table 1. The evaluation of the child’s best degree of forward tilting resulted in the application of the 10-degree insert in two children and the 20-degree insert in three. The 30-degree wedge insert was not applied as it was associated with postural instability. All participants completed

all testing sessions.

ULPRS scores [median (range)] were higher in the FW [dominant arm: 20 (16-22), non-dominant arm: 16 (11-21)] than in the H condition [dominant arm: 17 (11-21), non-dominant arm: 11 (8-16)]. The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of condition (dominant arm:  $F(1,4)=28.84, p=0.001$ , non-dominant arm:  $F(1,4)=28.02, p=0.001$ ), but not of testing session (dominant arm:  $F(1,2,4,8)=0.08, p=0.47$ , non-dominant arm:  $F(1,2,4,8)=0.08, p=0.47$ ). The Bonferroni post-hoc analysis confirmed the significant effect of the FW condition. The results of the condition (H and FW) are presented in Table 2.

**Table 1:** Clinical characteristics of participants

Variables	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5
Sex (F, M)	F	M	M	F	M
GMFCS	2	3	3	4	4
Age (y. mo)	1.1	1.4	2.7	3.5	4
GA (wk)	38	38	40	38	40
Wedge insert (degrees)	+20	+20	+20	+20	+20
Severity of UE spasticity	R>L	L>R	R>L	L>R	R>L
Handedness	L	R	L	R	L
Weight (kg)	15	11	15	11	15
Height (cm)	85	83	87	85	103

S= Subjects, all had bilateral spastic CP with signs of truncal hypotonia, Functional skill: S2-S5 can do floor sit independently, S1 does floor sit with support of hips, F=female, M=male, GMFCS= Gross motor function classification system, age represented in y= year, mo= month, +20 and +30 = 20 and 30 degrees of forward tilting of seat-surface, UE= upper extremity, R= The Right handedness, L= The Left handedness, GA= gestational age at birth (median = 38 wk GA), median weight = 15 kg, median height = 85 cm. The dominant hand was defined as the hand with which the child preferred to use, draw or manipulate objects.

**Table 10:** The results of the condition (H and FW)

Arm-hand function	Seating	ULPRS scores [median (range)]	ANOVAa
Dominant arm	FW	20	F <sub>0,4</sub> = 68.84, p = 0.0001
	H position	17 (08-26)	
Non-dominant arm	FW	16	F <sub>0,4</sub> = 28.06, p = 0.0004
	H position	11 (04-20)	

a ANOVA Statistics = statistical significance after Bonferroni correction. H=horizontal seating condition, FW=forward tilting condition, ULPRS scores = median scores of the Upper Limb Physician Rating Scale [median (range)] of five participants.

**Table 11:** Support Information, Upper Limb Physicians Rating Scale (ULPRS) (Adapted from Graham *et al.*, 2000) (In brackets: playing conditions eliciting spontaneous behaviour of ULPRS parameters, designed activities used in this preliminary study)

ADL = Activity daily living

Parameter	Definition	Scores
1. Active elbow extension (normal 130°) (reach out in midline)	>10° reduction	0
	0-10° reduction	1
	No reduction	2
	None	3
2. Active supination in extension (elbow extended, forearm supinates) Mid-position: palm 90° to horizontal (reach out to the side)	Under mid-position	1
	To mid-position,	2
	Past mid-position	3
3. Active supination in flexion (elbow flexed 90°, forearm supinates) (open a book)	None	0
	Under mid-position	1
	To mid-position,	2
	Past mid-position	3
4. Active wrist dorsiflexion (forearm supported, active dorsiflexion of wrist) Mid-position: palm level with forearm (grasp & release a cube/a ball/ put a cube in a cup)	None	0
	Under mid-position	1
	To mid-position,	2
	Past mid-position	3

Parameter	Definition	Scores
୫. Wrist dorsiflexion (angle of movement) <i>(throw a ball)</i>	With ulnar deviation	୦
	With radial deviation	୦
	Neutral	୧
୬. Finger opening <i>(grasp &amp; release a cube/a ball)</i>	Only with wrist flexion,	୦
	With wrist in neutral position	୧
	With wrist in dorsiflexion	୨
୭. Thumb in function <i>(grasp &amp; release a cube/a ball)</i>	Within palm	୦
	Pressed laterally against index finger	୧ ୨
	Partly assists in grasp	୩
	Thumb-finger grasp possible	୪
	Active abduction	
୮. Associated increase in muscle tone <i>(clinical impression during tasks)</i>	In all manipulative functions	୦
	Only with fine motor manipu- lation	୧ ୨
	Only with walking or running	୩
	None	
୯. Two-handed function <i>(transfer a cube/a ball/ put a cube in a cup/drink from cup/ throw a ball or during all tasks)</i>	None	୦
	Poor, no use or hidden functions	୧ ୨
	Use of all functions but limited in ADL	୩
	Use of all functions, not limited in ADL	
<b>Total scores</b>	<b>= ୨୫</b>	

## Discussions

This exploratory preliminary study indicated that the FW condition was associated with a significant improvement of arm-hand function, with no effect of testing sessions. A latter result indicated that a repeated measure on these seating conditions did not affect participants' learning of a task. Our results are in agreement with several studies (Miedaner, 2000; Myhr & von Wendt, 2000; Myhr, Wendt, von Norrlin & Radell, 2000; Sochaniwskyj, Koheli, Bablich, Milner & Lotto, 2000). Also, McClenaghan, Thombs & Milner (2002) found a positive effect of FW tilting, be it just a minor one, which could be related to the minor degree of FW tilting, i.e., 5 degrees. However, Reid and colleagues did not find an effect of 0- and 5-degree FW tilting, presumably due to the limited power of the studies (Reid, 2000; Reid, Sochaniwskyj, & Milner, 2002). The systematic review by Stavness (2002) also suggested that 5 degrees is an optimal degree of tilting position. The studies which reported an adverse effect of FW tilting either studied children that had more severe forms of CP than the children we studied (Nwaobi, 2000; Nwaobi, Brubaker, Cusick & Sussman, 2000), or did not use foot support (Hadders-Algra, Heide, Fock, Stremmelaar, Eykern & Otten, 2000). Thus, our data suggests that 0 to 20 degrees FW tilting, in combination with foot support in children with mild to moderate bilateral spastic CP, may be beneficial for arm-hand function. The strength of this small preliminary study is that we limited ourselves to young children with Bi-CP, who were tested in their own best FW sitting condition. Additional strengths are the use of a reliable tool to assess arm-hand function (Graham et al., 2000; Park, Joo, Kim, Rha & Jung, 2002), the 3-repeated measures, the random application of sitting conditions, the use of a frontal and lateral video view, the fact that the video-assessor was masked to the testing condition (H or FW) and testing session (1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup>).

## Limitation

The major limitation of this exploratory preliminary study is the small sample size. Another limitation was that we realize that the ANOVA is a parametric test and thus not optimal for the present set of data, but it was the only way to get some idea of the effect of multiple testing. Therefore, our findings do not allow for generalization. Future studies in larger groups are needed to evaluate the exact nature of the effect of FW tilting of the seat surface in children with CP, on arm-hand function and postural performance. These studies should address the effect of additional foot support and include children with bilateral and unilateral spastic CP.

In conclusion, our preliminary study suggests that FW tilting of the seat-surface may enhance arm-hand function in preschool children with Bi-CP. Similar studies in larger groups are needed to confirm this suggestion.

## Acknowledgements

The author gratefully thanks all children with cerebral palsy, parents, and Paliwanich, J., OT, of the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Division-Bhuddhachinaraj hospital, Thailand; Pupanya, W., BSc, Pandee, S., BSc, and Sikawee, W., BSc, for their assistance during data collection; Associate Professor Rurkpuritat S., Division of Statistics-Faculty of Sciences, Naresuan University, Thailand for her skilled statistical support. I gratefully acknowledge the critical comments of Mijna Hadders-Algra, MD, PhD, University of Groningen, Department of Pediatrics – Division of Developmental Neurology from the Netherlands on this manuscript.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Industrial and Research Project for Undergraduate Students (IRPUS) of the Thailand Research Fund 2000 [grant number: IPUS- 142C0000].

### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

MA received another adaptive chair produced by Kit for life, Co. Ltd. Thailand, as part of the industrial co-project of the IPUS grant. The latter grant is part of the Thai governmental IRPUS

Research Program, which promotes collaboration between scientists and industry. The author alone is responsible for the content and writing of the article.

## References

- Angsupaisal, M., Maathuis, C.G.B., & Hadders-Algra, M. (2015). Adaptive seating systems in children with severe cerebral palsy across International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health for Children and Youth version domains: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 57(12), 1115-1121.
- Beckung, E., & Hagberg, G. (2002). Neuroimpairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 44(12), 1000-1006.
- Graham, H. K., Aoki, K. R., Autti-Ramo, I., Boyd, R. N., Delgado, M. R., Deborah, J., et al. (2000). Recommendations for the use of botulinum toxin type A in the management of cerebral palsy. *Gait Posture*, 14(1), 1-10.
- Hadders-Algra, M., & Carlberg, E. B. (2005). Postural control: a key issue in developmental disorders. In M. Hadders-Algra & E. Brogren Carlberg (Eds.), *Clinics in Developmental Medicine No. 11*. London: Mac Keith Press.
- Hadders-Algra, M., van der Heide, J. C., Fock, J. M., Stremmelaar, E., van Eykern, L. A., & Otten, B. (2007). Effect of Seat Surface Inclination on Postural Control During Reaching in Preterm Children With Cerebral Palsy. *Physical Therapy*, 127(12), 1611-1619.
- McClenaghan, B. A., Thombs, L., & Milner, M. (2007). Effect of seat-surface inclination on postural stability and function of the upper extremities of children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 49(12), 1000-1006.
- Miedaner, J. (2000). The effects of sitting positions on trunk extension for children with motor impairment. *Pediatric Physical Therapy*, 12(1), 1-6.
- Morris C, Kurinczuk JJ, Fitzpatrick R, & Rosenbaum PL. (2006). Reliability of the manual ability classification system for children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 48(12), 1115-1121.
- Myhr, U., & von Wendt, L. (2001). Improvement of functional sitting positions for children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 43(12), 1000-1006.
- Myhr, U., Wendt, L., von Norrlin, S., & Radell, U. (2005). Five-year follow-up of functional position in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 47(12), 1000-1006.
- Nwaobi, O. M. (2007). Seating orientations and upper extremity function in children with cerebral palsy. *Physical Therapy*, 127(12), 1611-1619.
- Nwaobi, O. M., Brubaker, C. E., Cusick, B., & Sussman, M. D. (2008). Electromyographic investigation of extensor activity in cerebral-palsied children in different seating positions. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 50(12), 1000-1006.
- Palisano, R., Rosenbaum, P., Walter, S., Russell, D., Wood, E., & Galuppi, B. (2007). Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with Cerebral Palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 49(12), 1000-1006.
- Park, E. S., Joo, J.-W., Kim, S. A., Rha, D.-W., & Jung, S. J. (2005). Reliability and Validity of the Upper Limb Physician's Rating Scale in Children with Cerebral Palsy. *Yonsei Med J*, 50(12), 1000-1006. <http://doi.org/10.1186/1745-2974-50-1000>
- Reid, D. T. (2007). The effects of the saddle seat on seated postural control and upper-extremity movement in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 49(12), 1000-1006.
- Reid, D. T., Sochaniwskyj, A., & Milner, M. (2007). Instrumentation and a Protocol for Quantification of Upper-Limb Movement of Children With and Without Cerebral Palsy in Two Sitting Positions. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 21(12), 1000-1006.

- Shumway-Cook, A., & Woollacott, M. H. (2007). *Motor control. Translating research into clinical practice* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Sochaniwskyj, A., Koheil, R., Bablich, K., Milner, M., & Lotto, W. (2009). Dynamic monitoring of sitting posture for children with spastic cerebral palsy. 2(1), 111-118.
- Stavness C. The effect of positioning for children with cerebral palsy on upper-extremity function: a review of the evidence. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr* 2006; 26:145-154.
- Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe Collaborative Group (SCPE). 2008. Available at: [http://www-rheop.ujf-grenoble.fr/scpe2/site\\_scpe/index.php](http://www-rheop.ujf-grenoble.fr/scpe2/site_scpe/index.php). (accessed April 8, 2011).